

# Using Large Trajectory Dataset for Quantifying Mobility

Kristian Torp Department of Computer Science Aalborg University, Denmark torp@cs.aau.dk

# Agenda

- Trajectory-based travel time
  - Why not single-segment based?
- Point-based versus trajectory-based travel-time
  - Does it matter?
  - A short comparison to induction-loop data
- Fuel consumption
  - Estimated from high-frequency GPS data
  - Real consumption from CANbus/OBDII data



# **Trajectory-Based Travel Time**

BDMA 2021-03-23

# 2020: Trip Count, Denmark



# CPH: Langebro-Rådhuspladsen



# Aalborg: Travel-Time: Limfjordstunnel



- Workdays
- 5 minutes resolution



# Svendborg (~27K Inhabitants)



BDMA 2021-03-23

# Summary: Trajectory Travel-Time

- Ground-truth = trajectory-based travel time A to B (!/?)
- Trajectory data gives insight on a sequence of segments
  - Through a city, a tunnel
  - Turn-times in intersections and round-abouts
- High-frequency GPS data is needed
  - <10-20 seconds data, we like 1-second data</p>
  - Partners: "This is too expensive!" (communication, storage, query)
- Limitations of our approach
  - Careful in areas with limited data
  - We use a road-segment level granularity (extremely efficient)
  - Partners requests putting start/end any place on the map
  - ... (properly something I am blind to ☺)
- GDPR is a major issue
  - Learn to live with it!



# Point-Based versus Trajectory-Based Travel Time



# 1 Second (1Hz) GPS Data



# 1 Second Trajectory



# 5 Seconds GPS Data/Trajectory



# 1 vs 5 Seconds – Computed Speeds



# 1 vs 5 Seconds – Computed Speeds



# 1 vs 5 Second – Computed Speeds







# 1 vs 120 Second - Wrong Trajectory



6

# **Road-Segment Coverage**



- Point based coverage quickly drops
- Trajectory based worse using 120-second data

### **Travel Time**





- Point based up to 3% off using 5-sec data
- Trajectory based has problems with 120-sec data

BDMA 2021-03-23

# Østre Allé (ring 2), Aalborg, Denmark





# **Fuel Consumption**

BDMA 2021-03-23

# Introduction: Fuel Consumption

- Focus on reducing fuel consumption and emissions
  SDG 11
- Limited knowledge about fuel consumption?
  - Very limited datasets available
- Estimated fuel consumption from GPS
  - We have a lot of it
  - How accurate is it?
- Which factors affect fuel consumption?
  - Elevation, weight, weather, wind, temperature etc.

# **Fuel Consumption Models**

- Different models with different features
  - Instantaneous consumption vs aggregated
    - Second by second or a per trajectory
  - Absolute consumption versus arbitrary measure
  - Road grade or no road grade

- SIDRA TRIP fuel consumption model
  - Operating cost, fuel consumption, and emission models in aaSIDRA and aaMOTION [Akçelik and Besley, CAIRT '03]
  - Absolute second-by-second fuel consumption estimates in *ml/s*
  - Takes into consideration road grade

# **Data Foundation**

### • GPS

- 1Hz including instantaneous fuel consumption
- Millions of km of driving in Denmark
- CANbus
  - 1Hz GPS/CANbus
  - ~43K km of driving in Denmark
- OpenStreetMap Road Network of Denmark
  - 2 million edges
- Digital Elevation Model of Denmark
  - 2.6 million 100x100 raster tiles
  - 10 meter resolution
- Weather Data
  - NOAA hourly historic weather archive

# Elevating Road Network (3D)

- Method: Map match GPS data and elevate road network
  - Elevate every existing connection point in road network with DEM
- 3D road network (3D map)
- Quality dependent on resolution of points in the road network





# Elevating Road Network (H3D)

- Method: Split and elevate road network
  - Elevate every 10 meter of road network with DEM
- High-precision 3D road network (H3D map)
- Independent on map resolution



# Road Grade's ~ Fuel Consumption C



- 2D not suitable (even in Denmark)
- H3D slightly more accurate than 3D
  - Not worth the extra effort

# SIDRA Trip Evaluation: Single Vehicles

Citroën C4	Measured [ml/s]	2D Map %	3D map %	H3D map %
All data	0.97	96%	97%	97%
Constant speed	1.28	99%	100%	100%
Accelerating	1.37	106%	110%	109%
Decelerating	0.55	76%	76%	77%
Driving uphill	1.43	63%	78%	77%
Driving downhill	0.54	170%	132%	134%

Peugeot 206+	Measured [ml/s]	2D Map %	3D map %	H3D map %
All data	0.99	95%	98%	98%
Constant speed	1.44	98%	98%	98%
Accelerating	1.63	102%	103%	103%
Decelerating	0.41	76%	76%	77%
Driving uphill	1.39	66%	89%	88%
Driving downhill	0.65	143%	96%	98%

# Weathers and Fuel Consumption



- Fog and snow indicates a slight increase in the fuel consumption
  - Too little data?

# Wind and Fuel Consumption



- Some wind impact above 70 km/h
- Speed much more important than wind!

# Summary: Fuel Consumption



- Present a model for elevating road network
  - Evaluates on 2D, 3D, High-precision 3D map
- Hard to accurately estimate the fuel consumption from GPS
  - Even though the model has been calibrated to the individual vehicles
  - Constant speed and accelerations fairly accurate
- Different vehicles yield different model performance
  - Performance of fuel consumption model varies across different vehicles
- Other factors affecting fuel consumption
  - Weather and temperature is related to fuel consumption
  - Wind is related to fuel-consumption estimation/usage
    - Not incorporated in the fuel consumption models!

# Summary: Overall



- GPS data good source of information about traffic
- Integration of GPS data with other data sources
  - Spatial/temporal integration point
- The sampling period of GPS data is important for traveltime
  - Huge differences 1-second versus 120-second data
- New topics for the Daisy Research Group
  - Energy source: Electric vehicles (EVs)
  - Vehicles types: Today mostly person cars, trucks and bicycles
  - Data types: Induction loops and weather
  - From mostly batch to near real-time processing
- We are open for collaboration



# Thank you for your attention!